

### **REMARKS**

Claims 23, 24 and 26-55 are pending.

Claims 23, 24 and 26-55 stand rejected.

Claims 23, 24, 26, 27, 29-32 and 34-55 have been amended. Support for these amendments can be found throughout the specification and drawings, as originally filed.

The Applicants wish to express their gratitude to the Examiner for the courtesies extended to the Applicants attorney, Preston Smirman, during a telephonic interview held on May 23, 2011.

### **35 USC §112, FIRST PARAGRAPH REJECTION**

Claim 23, 24 and 26-55 stand rejected under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

In the interests of expediting prosecution of the instant application, and without admission that any amendment is required, the Applicant has amended the relevant claims, i.e., 23 and 26, to remove the term “altitude” therefrom and insert the term “attitude” therefor.

The Applicant submits that the 35 USC §112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 23, 24 and 26-55 has been overcome or rendered moot.

### **35 USC §112, SECOND PARAGRAPH REJECTION**

Claim 23, 24 and 26-55 stand rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the interests of expediting prosecution of the instant application, and without admission that any amendment is required, the Applicant has amended the relevant claim, i.e., 23, to remedy the lack of antecedent basis with respect to several terms/phrases identified by the Examiner.

The Applicant submits that the 35 USC §112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 23, 24 and 26-55 has been overcome or rendered moot.

### **CLAIMS 23, 24 AND 26-55 DISTINGUISH OVER THE PRIOR ART OF RECORD**

The Examiner asserted that claims 23, 24 and 26-55 are distinguishable over the prior art of record. The Applicants concur with the Examiner assertion.

## **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reexamination of the Application. The Applicants respectfully submit that each item raised by Examiner in the Office Action of February 25, 2011 has been successfully traversed, overcome or rendered moot by this response. The Applicants respectfully submit that each of the claims in this Application is in condition for allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the Applicants' undersigned attorney at (248) 601-6666 if any unresolved matters remain.

**Respectfully submitted,**

**SMIRMAN IP LAW, PLLC**

**May 23, 2011**

**Date**

**/Preston H. Smirman/**

**Preston H. Smirman, Registration No. 35,365**

Smirman IP Law, PLLC

P.O. Box 80364

Rochester, MI 48308

(248) 601-6666